

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THOMAS GERACE,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-0698 FCD GGH P

vs.

DOCTOR HAMBLBY, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____/

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On March 29, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed March 29, 2005, are adopted in full;

and

2. This action is dismissed for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address.

DATED: April 25, 2005.

/s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ger698.803